## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,836		12/30/2003	Sang-Hee Kang	51876P559	9426	
8791	7590	11/30/2006			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY	SOKOL	OFF TAYLOR &	LE	LE, THONG QUOC		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE		90025-1030		2827		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/749,836	KANG, SANG-HEE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thong Q. Le	2827		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thong Q. Le	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late.	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  NOTICE OF APPEAL		to or the intarrejection, t	ever il timery med,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	0	
		Thong Q. Le Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 2827	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: in response to a fuse reset signal and the enable signal, in response to the delayed enable signal.